A More Appropriate Algorithm of Thyroid Function Test in Diagnosis of Hyperthyroidism for Thai Patients

Thiti Snabboon MD*, Vitaya Sridama MD*, Sarat Sunthornyothin MD*, Sompongse Suwanwalaikorn MD*, Varaphon Vongthayarayat MD*

 $*Department \ of \ Medicine, \ Faculty \ of \ Medicine, \ Chulalong korn \ University$

Background: Thyroid function test is an essential tool in the diagnosis of thyroid dysfunction. To date, it is still controversial which diagnostic algorithm is best applicable to clinically hyperthyroidism patients.

Objective: To compare various algorithms of thyroid function tests in the diagnosis of hyperthyroidism.

Method: Patients from the endocrine clinic, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital were investigated for thyroid function tests (T_3 , T_4 , FT_3 , FT_4 and TSH). Hyperthyroidism was defined as an elevated either FT_3 or FT_4 with suppressed TSH. The authors compared the effectiveness in hyperthyroidism diagnosis among algorithms by using sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value.

Results : Of all 452 patients in the present study, 94.24 percent were women. There were 206 hyperthyroidism, 30 subclinical hyperthyroidism, 1 subclinical hypothyroidism, 8 primary hypothyroidism and 207 normal subjects. The incidence of T_3 toxicosis was 16.02% while that of T_4 toxicosis was 2.16%. After the effectiveness analysis of these algorithms, FT_3 and TSH is the most optimal test with 97.57% sensitivity and 100% specificity. Compared to FT_4 and TSH, it gave 83.98% sensitivity and 100% specificity.

Conclusion : According to the high incidence of T_3 toxicosis in the present study, FT_3 and TSH should be the initial test for diagnosis of hyperthyroid patients in an outpatient setting and FT_4 should be measured subsequently in case of suspected T_4 toxicosis.

Keywords: Hyperthyroid, Thyroid function test, T_3 toxicosis, T_4 toxicosis

J Med Assoc Thai 2004; 87 (Suppl 2): S19-21 e-Journal: http://www.medassocthai.org/journal

Thyroid dysfunction is one of the most common clinical problems encountered by primary care physicians. Due to its diverse clinical manifestations, confirmation by thyroid function test is crucial. Free thyroid hormone and higher sensitivity thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) measurement have improved the accuracy and precision of tests in recent years. Despite these technical improvements, the appropriate use of these tests remains non-uniformly recommended. The purpose of the present study was to search for an optimal algorithm in diagnosis of hyperthyroidism for Thai patients.

Material and Method

A total of 452 cases, including 207 normal controls and 26 men, at the Endocrine Clinic of King Chulalongkorn Memorial hospital were recruited consecutively from May 2000 to April 2002. The patients

Correspondence to :Snabboon T. Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Rama IV Road, Patumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand. with pregnancy, hospitalization or taking medications which affected thyroid function were excluded from the study. The thyroid function tests, (T_3, T_4, FT_3, FT_4) and TSH) were measured by electrochemiluminescent assay while TSH by 4th generation assay (Elecsys 2010, RoChe Diagnostics GmBH, USA). The internal quality control was included in each batch of tests performed. Detailed clinical profile was recorded in performa to evaluate the thyroid function of each patient and to exclude the possible confounding effect of systemic illness or drugs on the thyroid function tests. Hyperthyroidism is defined as elevated FT₂, FT₄ or both and decreased TSH levels and primary hypothyroid as the state of high circulating TSH accompanied with low FT₄ level. Occasionally, however, FT₃ or FT₄ alone is increased in hyper-thyroidism, and this condition is coined T₃ or T₄ toxicosis, respectively. Subclinical hypothyroidism is defined as the state of elevated TSH and normal FT₄ levels in asymptomatic subjects, whereas subclinical hyperthyroid is defined as the state of low circulating TSH with normal free thyroid hormones. After comparing the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of each algorithm, the authors propose the optimal algorithm in diagnosis of hyperthyroidism.

Results

Based on thyroid function test results; 206 patients with hyperthyroidism were identified. Thirty patients had subclinical hyperthyroidism, 1 subclinical hypothyroidism, 8 primary hypothyroidism and 207 normal thyroid function. Table 1 showed the characteristic of patients. The majority of the study population was in an active age (21-40 years). Table 2 showed the efficacy of each pair of thyroid function test in diagnosis of hyperthyroidism. Among hyperthyroid patients, the incidence of T_3 toxicosis was 16.02% (33/206 cases) and the incidence of T_4 toxicosis was 2.91% (5/206 cases). Mean age of the patients with T_3 toxicosis was 39.5 years and T_4 toxicosis was 58.6 years. All of them were women. Of note was a high incidence of T_3 toxicosis in the present study.

Discussion

Thyroid function tests are among the most common endocrine laboratory procedures. Since thyroid hormones and TSH balance each other through a regulatory feedback loop mechanism, patterns of each hormone are usually considered together for

Table 1. Demonstrate the characteristic of patients

	Male	Female
Age (range, y)	18-72	15-75
Hyperthyroidism (n)	13	193
Subclinical hyperthyroidism (n)	1	29
Subclinical Hypothyroidism (n)	-	1
Primary hypothyroidism (n)	-	8
Normal (n)	12	195

Table 2. Demonstrate the efficacy of each algorithm to diagnose hyperthyroidism

Test	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	Efficacy of test
TSH	100	87.80	87.29	100	93.36
T ₃ TSH	81.07	97.97	97.09	86.07	
T_4 TSH	74.27	99.19	98.71	82.15	
FT ₃ TSH	97.57	100	100	98	98.89
FT_4 TSH	83.98	100	100	88.17	
$T_3 T_4 TSH$	87.38	99.18	98.90	90.44	94.25
T ₃ FT ₄ TSH	I 91.26	91.26	100	93.18	96.02

diagnosis. As a diagnostic test, its cost-effectiveness should be a priority ,whereas in case of the screening test, its sensitivity and readiness should be considered ⁽¹⁾. Various clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis of thyroid dysfunction have been published ⁽²⁻⁴⁾, mostly from Western countries. While in other parts of the world, the diagnosis scheme may be different due to local epidemiological factors, costs, healthcare systems along with availability of medical insurance and reimbursement practices. American Thyroid Association (ATA) suggested that both FT₄ and TSH are necessary for diagnosis ⁽⁵⁻⁸⁾. FT₃ measurement is mainly advocated as a third-level due to its high sensitivity to technical factor and sick euthyroid state and indicated when T₃ toxicosis is suspected ^(9,10).

The present study showed the higher incidence of T₃ toxicosis compared with the previous studies. The incidence of T₃ toxicosis was reported by Bellabarba⁽¹¹⁾ who found 7 cases in 62 consecutive cases. Hollander et al (12) found 10 cases of T₂ toxicosis in 200 cases of hyperthyroidism. The large epidemiologic studies in US populations from Becker et al⁽⁸⁾ and Figge et al⁽⁹⁾ showed the incidence of T₂ toxicosis was only 2-5%. Hollander et al (13) reported that the incidence of T₂ toxicosis is much higher in iodine deficiency areas. The studies about urine iodine in a Thai populations showed lower levels compared with Japanese and American population(14,15), which may explain the higher incidence of T₃ toxicosis. The clinical significance of T₃ toxicosis is still elusive; some authors suggest that T₃ toxicosis may be the early state of hyperthyroidism and the patients with T₃ toxicosis are more likely to have long-term remission after withdrawal of antithyroid drug therapy.

The present study demonstrates that FT_3 and TSH is the most optimal test in the diagnosis of hyperthyroidism in an ambulatory setting. FT_4 should be measured subsequently in cases of suspected T_4 toxicosis. However, thyroid function tests can be misleading in hospitalized patients or abnormal thyroid binding proteins and the use of multiple tests is, therefore, appropriate⁽¹⁶⁾.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Ms Prapai Srisawat for providing invaluable information and Dr. Paisith Piriyawat for editing the manuscript.

Supported by: The Research Fund of the Endocrine and Metabolism Division, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University.

References

- 1. Helfand M, Crapo LM. Screening for thyroid disease. Ann Intern Med 1990; 112: 840-9.
- 2. Arbelle JE, Porath A. Practice guidelines for the detection and management of thyroid function dysfunction. A comparative review of the recommendations. Clin Endocrinol 1999; 51: 11-8.
- 3. Nordyke RA, Reppun TS, Madanay LD, Woods JC, Goldstein AP, Miyamoto LA. Alternative sequences of thyrotropin and free thyroxine assays for routine thyroid function testing. Arch Intern Med 1998; 158: 266-72.
- 4. Bauer DC, Brown AN. Sensitive thyrotropin and free thyroxine testing in outpatients. Are both necessary? Arch Intern Med 1996; 156: 2333-7.
- 5. Surks MI, Chopra IJ, Mariash CN, Nicoloff JT, Solomon DH. American Thyroid Association guidelines for use of laboratory tests in thyroid disorders. JAMA 1990; 263: 1529-32.
- 6. Ladenson PW, Singer PA, Ain KB, Bagchi N, Bigos ST, Levy EG, Smith SA, Daniels GH, Cohen HD. American thyroid association guidelines for detection of thyroid dysfunction. Arch Intern Med 2000; 160: 1573-5.
- 7. Hay ID, Bayer MF, Kaplan MM, Klee GG, Larsen PR, Spencer CA. American Thyroid Association assessment of current free thyroid hormone and thyrotropin measurements and guidelines for future clinical assays. The Committee on Nomenclature of the American Thyroid Association. Clin Chem 1991; 37: 2002-8.
- 8. Becker DV, Bigos ST, Gaitan E, Morris JC 3rd, Rallison ML, Spencer CA, Sugawara M, Van Middlesworth L, Wartofsky L. Optimal use of blood tests for assessment of thyroid function. JAMA 1993; 269: 2736-7.
- 9. Figge J, Leinung M, Goodman AD, Izquierdo R, Mydosh

- T, Gates S, Line B, Lee DW. The clinical evaluation of patients with subclinical hyperthyroidism and free triiodothyronine (free T3) toxicosis. Am J Med 1994;
- 10. Shalet SM, Beardwell CG, Lamb AM, Gowland E. Value of routine serum-triiodothyronine estimation in diagnosis of thyrotoxicosis. Lancet 1975; 2: 1008-10.
- 11. Bellabarba D, Benard B, Langlois M. Pattern of serum thyroxine, triiodothyronine and thyrotrophin after treatment of thyrotoxicosis. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 1972; 1: 345-53.
- 12. Hollander CS, Mitsuma T, Nihei N, Shenkman L, Burday SZ, Blum M. Clinical and laboratory observations in cases of triiodothyronine toxicosis confirmed by radioimmunoassay. Lancet 1972; 1: 609-11.
- 13. Hollander CS, Mitsuma T, Shenkman L, Stevenson C, Pineda G, Silva E. T, toxicosis in an iodine-deficient. Lancet 1972; 2: 1276-8.
- Saowakhontha S, Sanchaisuriya P, Pongpaew P, Tungtrongchitr R, Supawan V, Intarakhao C, Mahaweerawat U, Jotking P, Sriarkajan N, Schelp FP. Compliance of population groups of iodine fortification in an endemic area of goiter in northeast Thailand. J Med Assoc Thai 1994; 77: 449-54.
- 15. Pleehachinda R, Dhebsuporn P, Suansilpongse S, Suwanik R, Pattanachak C, Tuntawiroon M, Pattanachak S, Sritongkul N, Boonnamsiri V, Triprom N, et al. Urinary iodine excretion and thyroid function studies in endemic goitre in northern Thailand. J Med Assoc Thai 1984; 67: 31-5.
- Attia J, Margetts P, Guyatt G. Diagnosis of thyroid disease in hospitalized patients. Arch Intern Med 1999; 159:

ขั้นตอนการตรวจธัยรอยด์ฮอร์โมนที่เหมาะสมในการวินิจฉัยภาวะต่อมธัยรอยด์เป็นพิษในผู้ป่วยชาวไทย

ธิติ สนับบุญ, วิทยา ศรีดามา, สารัช สุนทรโยธิน, สมพงษ์ สุวรรณวลัยกร, วราภณ วงศ์ถาวราวัฒน์

บทนำ : การตรวจธัยรอยด์ฮอร์โมนเป็นขั้นตอนสำคัญในการวินิจฉัยความผิดปกติการทำงานของต[่]อมธัยรอยด*์* ปัจจุบันยังไม่มี การศึกษาถึงประสิทธิภาพหรือขั้นตอนที่เหมาะสมของการตรวจฮอร์โมนของผู้ป่วยชาวไทยสำหรับการวินิจฉัยภาวะต่อมธัยรอยด์เป็นพิษ **ิ วัตถุประสงค**์: เพื่อเปรียบเทียบประสิทธิภาพของการตรวจระดับธัยรอยด์ฮอร์โมนชนิดต[่]าง ๆ เพื่อกำหนดแนวทางที่เหมาะสมในการ วินิจ์ฉัยภาวะต่อมกัยรอยด์เป็นพิษ

วิธีการ : ศึกษากลุ่มผู[้]ป่วยที่มารับการรักษาที่คลินิกต[่]อมไร*้*ทอ โรงพยาบาลจุฬาลงกรณ์ โดยทำการตรวจระดับธัยรอยด์ฮอร์โมนชนิด

ต่าง ๆ ได้แก่ T₃, T₄, FT₄, ET₄ และ TSH และ นำมาเปรียบเทียบประสิทธิภาพในการวินิจฉัยโดยพิจารณาจากค่าความไว ความจำเพาะ สัดส่วนของการเป็นโรคเมื่อตรวจได้ผลบวก และ สัดส่วนการไม่เป็นโรคเมื่อตรวจได้ผลลบ ผลการวิจัย : ผูเ้ขาร่วมการวิจัยทั้งหมด 452 ราย แยกกลุ่มตามผลการตรวจระดับธัยรอยด์ฮอร์โมนได้ดังนี้ ภาวะต่อมธัยรอยด์เป็นพิษ 206 ราย ภาวะต่อมธัยรอยด์เป็นพิษชนิดแฝง 30 ราย ภาวะต่อมธัยรอยด์ทำงานต่ำชนิดแฝง 1 ราย ภาวะต่อมธัยรอยด์ทำงานต่ำ 8 รายและปกติ 207 ราย พบอุบัติการณ์ภาวะ $T_{_3}$ toxicosis 16.02% และ $T_{_4}$ toxicosis 2.91% พิจารณาในด้านประสิทธิภาพ การวัดระดับ $FT_{_3}$ และ TSH มีค่าความไว 97.57% และ ค่าความจำเพาะ 100% เมื่อเปรียบเทียบกับการวัดระดับ $FT_{_4}$ และ TSH ซึ่งมีความไวเพียง 83.98% และ ความจำเพาะ 100%

สรุป : จากงานวิจัยฉบับนี้แสดงให**้**เห็นวา่อุบัติการณ์ของภาวะ T₃ toxicosis มีคาสูงถึง 16.2% ทำให้ควรเลือกการตรวจธัยรอยด์ฮอร์โมน ด้วย FT ู และ TSH เป็นลำดับแรก ในกรณีที่สงสัยภาวะต่อมธั้ยรอยด์เป็นพิษ