Use of SAMe-TT₂R₂ Score to Predict the Quality of Anticoagulation Control in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation Receiving Warfarin in Thailand

Methavigul K, MD, FRCPT, FAsCC¹

¹ Department of Cardiology, Central Chest Institute of Thailand, Nonthaburi, Thailand

Objective: To predict the quality of anticoagulation control in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) receiving warfarin in Thailand.

Materials and Methods: The present study retrospectively recruited Thai AF patients receiving warfarin for three months or longer between June 2012 and December 2017 in Central Chest Institute of Thailand. The patients were classified into those with SAMe- TT_2R_2 of 2 or less, and 3 or more. The Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used to compare the proportion of the patients with poor time in therapeutic range (TTR) between the two groups of SAMe- TT_2R_2 score. The discrimination performance of SAMe- TT_2R_2 score was demonstrated with c-statistics.

Results: Ninety AF patients were enrolled. An average age was 69.89 ± 10.04 years. Most patients were persistent AF. An average CHA₂DS₂-VASc, SAMe-TT₂R₂, and HAS-BLED score were 3.68 ± 1.51 , 3.26 ± 0.88 , and 1.98 ± 0.85 , respectively. The present study showed the increased proportion of AF patients with poor TTR with higher SAMe-TT₂R₂ score. The AF patients with SAMe-TT₂R₂ score of 3 or more had a larger proportion of patients with poor TTR than those with SAMe-TT₂R₂ score of 2 or less with statistical significance when TTR was below 70% (p=0.03) and 65% (p=0.04), respectively. The discrimination performance of SAMe-TT₂R₂ score was demonstrated with c-statistics of 0.60, 0.59, and 0.55 when TTR was below 70%, 65% and 60%, respectively.

Conclusion: That AF patients receiving warfarin had a larger proportion of patients with poor TTR when the SAMe- TT_2R_2 score was higher. The score of 3 or more could predict poor quality of anticoagulation control in those patients.

Keywords: Time in therapeutic range, Poor quality of anticoagulation control, Warfarin, SAMe-TT₂R₂, Labile INR

Received 28 Oct 2019 | Revised 20 Apr 2020 | Accepted 27 Apr 2020

J Med Assoc Thai 2020; 103(6): 548-52

Website: http://www.jmatonline.com

Stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) is of paramount importance in clinical practice because acute cardioembolic stroke is a devastating disease in those patients. To date, oral anticoagulants are recommended in AF patients with non-sex CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of 1 or more (score of 1 or more in a male or 2 or more in a female)⁽¹⁻³⁾. Poor time in therapeutic range (TTR)

Correspondence to:

Methavigul K.

Department of Cardiology, Central Chest Institute of Thailand, 74 Tiwanon Road, Bangkrasor, Mueang Nonthaburi 11000, Thailand. **Phone:** +66-2-5470920, **Fax:** +66-2-5470990

Email: hnueng@gmail.com

How to cite this article:

Methavigul K. Use of SAMe- TT_2R_2 Score to Predict the Quality of Anticoagulation Control in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation Receiving Warfarin in Thailand. J Med Assoc Thai 2020;103:548-52. doi.org/10.35755/jmedassocthai.2020.06.10827 is a common problem in those patients receiving warfarin in Thailand. Previous trials by Methavigul et al showed the percentage of patient-time spent within international normalized ratio (INR) 2 to 3 were $46.22\%^{(4)}$.

Apostolakis et al proposed using SAMe-TT₂R₂ [Sex female, Age <60 years, Medical history (more than two comorbidities), Treatment (interacting drugs, e.g., amiodarone for rhythm control), Tobacco use (doubled), Race (doubled)] score to predict poor TTR⁽⁵⁾. Several clinical trials have demonstrated the score of 3 or more could predict poor anticoagulation control⁽⁶⁻⁹⁾.

However, data about using SAMe- TT_2R_2 score in Thai AF patients are scarce and limited by small sample size⁽¹⁰⁾. The present study was conducted to predict the quality of anticoagulation control in Thai AF patients receiving warfarin.

Materials and Methods

The present study retrospectively recruited Thai

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the patients

Demographic data	Total n=90
	n (%)
Age (years); mean±SD	69.89±10.04
Male gender	52 (57.78)
Paroxysmal AF	23 (25.56)
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score; mean±SD	3.68±1.51
SAMe-TT ₂ R ₂ score; mean±SD	3.26±0.88
HAS-BLED score; mean±SD	1.98±0.85
LVEF (%); mean±SD	54.54±18.26
Time in therapeutic range (%); mean±SD	53.17±25.36
eGFR (ml/minute/1.73m ²); mean±SD	68.55±18.55
Medical history	
Diabetes mellitus	23 (25.56)
Hypertension	73 (81.11)
Hypercholesterolemia	72 (80.00)
Coronary artery disease	29 (32.22)
Peripheral artery disease	1 (1.11)
Valvular heart disease	14 (15.56)
Chronic kidney disease	26 (28.89)
History of previous ischemic stroke or TIA	17 (18.89)
History of heart failure	31 (34.44)
History of liver disease	0 (0.00)
History of pulmonary disease	4 (4.44)
History of smoking within 2 years	10 (11.11)
Medications	
Beta-blockers	70 (77.78)
Non-dihydropyridine CCB	5 (5.56)
Digoxin	25 (27.78)
Amiodarone	4 (8.89)
Flecainide	1 (1.11)
Warfarin	90 (100)
Aspirin	8 (8.89)
Clopidogrel	12 (13.33)

SD=standard deviation; n=numbers; AF=atrial fibrillation; TIA= transient ischemic attack; LVEF=left ventricular ejection fraction; eGFR=estimated glomerular filtration rate; ml=millimeter; CCB=calcium channel blockers

AF patients receiving warfarin for three months or more between June 2012 and December 2017 in Central Chest Institute of Thailand. Those patients aged below 18 years, duration of warfarin usage of less than one year, each INR during follow-up visit lasting more than six months, hospitalization during study, warfarin interruption from surgery, intervention or any causes, those with prosthetic heart valve, platelet count below 100,000/mm³, myeloproliferative disorders including essential thrombocythemia, chronic myeloid leukemia, polycythemia vera, agnogenic myeloid metaplasia, hyperviscosity syndrome, or pregnancy were excluded. The SAMe-TT₂R₂ score included Sex female, Age less than 60 years, Medical history of more than two of the following comorbidities: hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease or myocardial infarction, peripheral arterial disease, congestive heart failure, previous stroke, pulmonary disease, hepatic or renal disease, Treatment with interacting drugs such as amiodarone for rhythm control, Tobacco use (doubled), and non-Caucasian Race (doubled). The quality of anticoagulation control was measured by TTR calculating by using Rosendaal method⁽¹¹⁾. The poor TTR was defined as the INR level of less than 60%, 65%, or 70% of follow-up period⁽¹²⁾. The patients were classified into those with SAMe-TT₂R₂ of 2 or less, and 3 or more. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board. The present study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

The author determined 0.05 for type I error, 0.10 for type II error with 90% power and estimated the proportions of poor TTR in AF patients with SAMe- TT_2R_2 of 2 or less and 3 or more were 0.25 and 0.78, respectively⁽¹⁰⁾. The ratio of SAMe-TT₂R₂ of 2 or less to those of 3 or more was 0.11. A sample size of 90 patients was calculated to compare populations of the two groups by Chi-square test. The demographic and clinical data were interpreted by using descriptive statistics. The categorical data were presented as frequency and percentage. The continuous variables were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). The Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used to compare the proportion of the patients with poor TTR between the two groups of SAMe- TT_2R_2 score. The discrimination performance of SAMe-TT₂R₂ score was demonstrated with c-statistics. A p-value of 0.05 or less was considered statistical significance.

Results

Ninety AF patients were enrolled. An average age was 69.89 ± 10.04 years. Most patients were persistent AF. The average CHA₂DS₂-VASc, SAMe-TT₂R₂, and HAS-BLED score were 3.68 ± 1.51 , 3.26 ± 0.88 , and 1.98 ± 0.85 , respectively. Most patients had hypertension and hypercholesterolemia. Nearly one-fifth of those had the history of ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA). A one-third of them experienced heart failure. The baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1.



Figure 1. The distribution of Thai AF patients according to SAMe- TT_2R_2 score.

The present study showed a larger proportion of AF patients with poor TTR following an increased SAMe-TT₂R₂ score and the distribution of those patients according to SAMe-TT₂R₂ score is shown in Figure 1. The patients with SAMe-TT₂R₂ score of 3 or more had a larger proportion of patients with poor TTR than those with SAMe-TT₂R₂ score of 2 or less with statistical significance when TTR was below 70% (p=0.03) and 65% (p=0.04), respectively as shown in Figure 2. The discrimination performance of SAMe-TT₂R₂ score was demonstrated with c-statistics

of 0.60, 0.59, and 0.55 when TTR was below 70%, 65%, and 60%, respectively.

Discussion

The present study demonstrated that a SAMe- TT_2R_2 score of 3 or more increased the risk of poor TTR in Thai AF patients. Previous trial had shown the SAMe-TT₂R₂ score of 2 or more could predict the quality of anticoagulant control^(5,13). However, later trials have shown the SAMe-TT₂R₂ score of 3 or more was better predictor in AF patients with poor TTR^(6-9,14). According to a 2017 consensus of the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS) on stroke prevention in AF, non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant (NOAC) was recommended in AF patients with the SAMe-TT₂R₂ score of 3 or more because they were unlikely to achieve a good TTR by taking vitamin K antagonist (VKA)⁽¹⁵⁾. The present trial had the same results compared with the previous trials and showed that Thai AF patients could use SAMe-TT₂R₂ score to predict the quality of anticoagulation control as well.

The discrimination performance of SAMe-TT₂R₂ score was demonstrated by c-statistics between 0.55 to 0.60 depending on definition of poor TTR, which was lower than a previous trial by Apostolakis et al⁽⁵⁾. Those trial showed that the SAMe-TT₂R₂ score had good discrimination performance (c-statistics 0.70). Moreover, a systematic review suggested that the SAMe-TT₂R₂ score could predict the quality of anticoagulation control in AF patients receiving VKA with c-statistics ranging from 0.56 to 0.72⁽¹⁶⁾.





Each previous trials had a different discrimination performance including the present trial because the SAMe-TT₂R₂ score was a clinical prediction tool that does not include some risk predictors of poor TTR such as the elderly patients, alcohol abuse, concomitant antiplatelet drugs etc. Additionally, good TTR is dependent on each physician. The physician inertia is a common problem because they do not concern about warfarin adjustment for good TTR and duration of follow-up visit is another problem. Long duration of follow-up visit has more risk of poor TTR than short period of follow-up visit. Therefore, the use of SAMe-TT₂R₂ score in clinical practice should be accompanied with other clinical profile of each patient.

The present study had some limitations. First, the present study had small sample size. However, it has shown that a SAMe- TT_2R_2 score of 3 or more increases the risk of poor TTR in Thai AF patients with statistical significance. Second, the present study was a retrospective study, so missing data or selection bias cannot be excluded. However, the preliminary evidence demonstrated that those scores can be used in Thai AF patients.

Conclusion

Thai AF patients receiving warfarin had a larger proportion of patients with poor TTR when the SAMe- TT_2R_2 score is higher. Those score of 3 or more could predict poor quality of anticoagulation control in those patients.

What is already known on this topic?

The SAMe- TT_2R_2 score can be used to predict the poor TTR in AF patients receiving warfarin.

What this study adds?

The SAMe- TT_2R_2 score can use to predict the poor TTR in the Thai AF patients receiving warfarin, which is similar to the Caucasian population.

Conflicts of interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, Ahlsson A, Atar D, Casadei B, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. Eur Heart J 2016;37: 2893-962.
- Lip GYH, Banerjee A, Boriani G, Chiang CE, Fargo R, Freedman B, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation: CHEST guideline and expert panel report.

Chest 2018;154:1121-201.

- January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, Chen LY, Cigarroa JE, Cleveland JC Jr, et al. 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS focused update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;74:104-32.
- 4. Methavigul K, Boonyapisit W. Optimal INR level in Thai atrial fibrillation patients who were receiving warfarin for stroke prevention in Thailand. J Med Assoc Thai 2014;97:1274-80.
- Apostolakis S, Sullivan RM, Olshansky B, Lip GYH. Factors affecting quality of anticoagulation control among patients with atrial fibrillation on warfarin: the SAMe-TT(2)R(2) score. Chest 2013;144:1555-63.
- Lip GYH, Haguenoer K, Saint-Etienne C, Fauchier L. Relationship of the SAMe-TT(2)R(2) score to poor-quality anticoagulation, stroke, clinically relevant bleeding, and mortality in patients with atrial fibrillation. Chest 2014;146:719-26.
- Proietti M, Lane DA, Lip GY. Relation of the SAMe-TT2R2 score to quality of anticoagulation control and thromboembolic events in atrial fibrillation patients: Observations from the SPORTIF trials. Int J Cardiol 2016;216:168-72.
- Chan PH, Hai JJ, Chan EW, Li WH, Tse HF, Wong IC, et al. Use of the SAMe-TT2R2 Score to predict good anticoagulation control with warfarin in Chinese patients with atrial fibrillation: relationship to ischemic stroke incidence. PLoS One 2016;11:e0150674.
- Bernaitis N, Ching CK, Chen L, Hon JS, Teo SC, Davey AK, et al. The sex, age, medical history, treatment, tobacco use, race risk (SAMe TT2R2) score predicts warfarin control in a Singaporean population. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2017;26:64-9.
- Methavigul K. Proportion of Thai patients with atrial fibrillation receiving warfarin with labile INR in each group of SAMeTT2R2 score. J Med Assoc Thai 2018;101:189-93.
- Rosendaal FR, Cannegieter SC, van der Meer FJ, Briet E. A method to determine the optimal intensity of oral anticoagulant therapy. Thromb Haemost 1993;69:236-9.
- 12. White HD, Gruber M, Feyzi J, Kaatz S, Tse HF, Husted S, et al. Comparison of outcomes among patients randomized to warfarin therapy according to anticoagulant control: results from SPORTIF III and V. Arch Intern Med 2007;167:239-45.
- 13. Poli D, Antonucci E, Testa S, Lip GY. A prospective validation of the SAMe-TT2R 2 score: how to identify atrial fibrillation patients who will have good anticoagulation control on warfarin. Intern Emerg Med 2014;9:443-7.
- Roldán V, Cancio S, Gálvez J, Valdés M, Vicente V, Marín F, et al. The SAMe-TT2R2 score predicts poor anticoagulation control in AF patients: a prospective

'Real-world' inception cohort study. Am J Med 2015;128:1237-43.

- Chiang CE, Okumura K, Zhang S, Chao TF, Siu CW, Wei LT, et al. 2017 consensus of the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society on stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation. J Arrhythm 2017;33:345-67.
- Zulkifly H, Lip GYH, Lane DA. Use of the SAMe-TT2R2 score to predict anticoagulation control in atrial fibrillation and venous thromboembolism patients receiving vitamin K antagonists: A review. Heart Rhythm 2018;15:615-23.